App. F. IX-4

Previous References

1932.
(VP)

File No. 57-32
Serial Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4 & 5

Later References
VP 54: 33 & 164

p. 22 (B)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 1 to 5

Part B for Oct 4 33

SUBJECT.

Proposal for the efficient management of the VP's in the Inam villages of Sirdar M. Lakshmikantaraj Urs, Mysore.

(1 to 5)

To Sirdar M. Lakshmikantaraj Urs, Mysore
L 2284 of 4-10-33

States that Govt are of opinion that while this ~~proposals~~ offer to take on himself the supervision of the Inam villages etc the proposal of clubbing the Panchayats & keeping the funds with him is opposed to the provisions of the Regn & cannot be approved.

31 X 33

General and Revenue Secretariat

VP File No. 567 of 1932

Serial No.

From Sardar Mr Lakshmi Kantaraj Urs
Letter no 670 dt 1.12.32

Brings to notice that the village Panchayat system introduced in the inam villages under his management have not improved the conditions of the villages that in some villages taxes are not being collected, meetings are not held and that some V.P. Chairmen of some villages have abused their powers and suggests that the Inam villages may be left to his charge for village Panchayat administration.

A. 1. The Sardar suggests that the income from all the villages can be kept in his name in the Treasury and utilised solely year by year for improvements in the villages only by him. The village defects suggestion is not covered by V.P. rules. To remedy the defects pointed out, the Sardar may perhaps be invested with the powers of the Amildar under Section 45 of the V.P. Regn. A report from the Dy Comr may perhaps be called for as to whether the grant of powers of Amildar to the Sardar may not meet the situation fully.

By 14/12/32

2. A draft acknowledging the letter stating that the matter will receive attention after public tour

Each 15/12

3. Submitted with a draft for perusal before issue.

By 16/12

4. Re A, it is perhaps better to call for a report from Revenue Commr, in his opinion, on the suggestions made.

(per Secy) 16/12

(5) Secy

(6) Secy 16/12

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
No.

Office of the Secretariat, Contin.
17 DEC 1932
No. 44842

Issue draft as submitted to Revenue for

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31

2687

File No. V.L. 57-32 ~~of 193~~ .

General and Revenue Secretariat

d 4274. dy 21.12.32

2.

To Sirdar M. Lakshmikantaraj Urs Dy Mysore

Acknowledges receipt of sl 1 and states that the matter is receiving attention

8. Recvd with a dft this Rev Comr as directed

21.12.32

9. Issue dft 29/12

d 4434 dy 5.1.33.

3.

To the Rev Comr

for a copy of sl 1 and calls for a report in the matter.

TYPI G ... UN
23 DEC 1932
GENL. & REV. SEC.

✓
Sec A. 5.1.33

From the Revenue Commr. in Mysore No: C.2757/32-33 dy 26.7.33. in reply to sl 3, forwards a copy of letter dy 16.6.33 from the Superintendent of Police, Hassan on the subject and states that (1) while the offer of the Sirdar to take on himself the supervision of the Village Panchayats in his Inam villages is praiseworthy, this proposal to club together the Panchayats & keep the funds with him are legally impossible being opposed to the provisions of the V.P. Regn, (2) that the Sirdar may render however, valuable assistance by using his influence to improve each individual Panchayat separately. & that the Dy Commr is being asked to send a report on the irregularities referred to in the Sirdar's letter

4.

10. Submitted for orders. Before papers 4 to 6 Perpse.

SS 11/8 PW

11. Please put up a draft reply to Sirdar Lakshmikantaraj Urs on the lines of para 2 of the Rev Comr's letter

17/8

531/L

[App. F. III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat | V.C. File No. 57 of 1932

Serial No.

DEPT. 23 SEP. 1933

23 AUG. 1933 CENL. & REV. SECTT.

30 AUG. 1933 SECRETARY

1. Draft reply to Sundara letter is submitted
2. Typed draft is submitted for consideration
3. Attested draft is submitted

Jem 22/8

Jem 30/8

Jem 8/9

Jem 8/9

Jem 10/9

Jem 11/9

The file may perhaps be sent to the Dewan for perusal before issue of the reply to Sundara Lakshmi Kantaraj Urs

Jem 11/9

for perusal

Dewan

Jem 22/9

Jem 22/9

MD 23-9-33

Jem 25/9

Dewan Jem 25/9

To Sardar M. Lakshmi Kantharaj Urs Esq. Dr

centn 9½ 2 states that his proposal for climbing

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31.

3-31

VP File No. of 1932

General and Revenue Secretariat

the Bench agst keeping their funds with him is opposed by the V.C. Regr, that he will be rendering valuable assistance, if he would use his influence to improve each individual VC separately & that the irregularities referred to in Pl are being enquired into by the Rev Comm^r

√
Pb

Cheluvamba Vilas Mansion,

Mysore,

Dated 1st December 1932.

No: 640
en. one

GEN. & REV. BRANCH
10 DEC. 1932
S. G. BRANCH.

2687

From

Sirdar M. Lakshmikantaraj Urs,
Cheluvamba Vilas Mansion, Mysore.

To

CHIEF SECRETARY
8 DEC. 1932

The Chief Secretary,
to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honour to bring to your kind notice that the marginally noted villages belong to me and are under my management. In the year 1926, when the village panchayat system was introduced into the State, the same was also introduced into all my villages.

Though six years have elapsed since the Panchayat System was brought into force, I do not see any tangible improvement effected in the villages, and they are all in the same condition as that in which they were before the system was given effect to. Meetings are not being regularly held and the affairs of the villages discussed, collection of the Panchayat fund has been most unsatisfactory, and in some villages no attempts have been till now made for collection. The Chairmen of the Committees are either inefficient or indifferent to their responsibilities abuse their powers and have committed questionable acts to the detriments of the villages affairs. I may mention

mention here as an example, the several irregularities of the panchayats in three of my Inam villages namely "KEMPANAPURA, SAGARE, AND THARADALAY".

i The chairman of the Sagare panchayat, Heggadadevankote Taluk, it is reported, had the audacity to collect village panchayat fund from the villagers, and misappropriated the amounts and went even so far as to destroy the accounts, with the result that the villagers have become very stubborn to pay their taxes due to the Panchayat.

ii. Kempanapura village in Chamarajanagar Taluk, is a large village with three hamlets attached to it which are at a distance of a mile each from the Asali village The accounts of the village are not properly kept and no works have been undertaken to improve the village - sanitation and not even a cart track worth the name is made. The Asali village and hamlets are filled with prickly-pear and if it is not removed the hamlets will be burried in it.

iii I may mention here as an example of abuse of - powers vested in the chairman of Tharadale village Committee. The hamlet attached to Tharadale village, Nanjangood Taluk, is situated at a distance of a mile and a half from the Asali village and contains 35 houses The people of this hamlet were, it is learnt very much harrassed and put to so much worry and trouble by the men in authority of the Asali village that they preferred at a considerable loss to leave their village in-a-body, and build Houses in the neighbouring taluk of - Gundlapet.

All the above mentioned irregularities are the outcome of inefficient management and want of proper & timely check and control. The Revenue Officers do not

(Contd)

not generally find time to inspect the village Panchayat of Inam villages and insist upon the committees to show better work. A kind perusal of the statement attached herewith will bear out the above facts and reveals that the introduction of village panchayat system among my Inam villages is only a dead letter.

As it is my earnest desire to remedy the existing defects and to give the villages the benefits of the village panchayat system by affording better facilities for traffic by opening new roads, where there are none or to improve the existing ones, and provide well for drinking water and other items such as construction of school buildings and chavadies etc., necessary for the improvement of their material, moral and social condition, I wish to make the following proposals for the favourable consideration of Government.

1 All my Inam villages situated in different taluk will for the purpose of administration be clubbed together into one group, under my management.

2 A fund called "VILLAGE PANCHAYAT FUND" will be opened and all the collection of panchayats which may amount to about Rs.2000/- to Rs.2500/- per year will be creditted to this fund. This panchayat fund will be deposited either in the Mysore Bank in my account or ~~in~~ in the District Treasury. Any amount required for the improvement work of any village panchayat, will be drawn from this fund and debitted to the respective village Committee account in accordance with the rules laid down in the village panchayat regulation. I want to adopt this proceedure for the reason that my Inam villages are not situated in one taluk, but belongs to the several taluks, and the cash collection of the villages cannot be deposited in the Savings Bank account in their respective taluks

(Contd,

Taluks as such a system will cause unnecessary correspondance and waste of time and also it is very likely that our fund will be mixed up with other panchayat - funds of the Taluks. I may also mention here that the village fund under my charge will be utalised sole -ly for the improvement of my villages and will not indent for any contribution being granted from the Talu funds. It is for this reason that I do not wish to keep the panchayat funds of my Inam villages in their respective taluks, but deposit it in my account in Myso Bank or in the District Treasury, and have the control and management of the village panchayat fund.

3 For control and supervision, a retired D.P.W, Offi cial on a pay not exceeding Rs.25/- a month and a clerk on Rs.15/- will be appointed. The pay and T.A. of the proposed inspecting official and that of the clerk, will be debitted to the village panchayat funds "PRO-RATA". Such an amount as may be required for the improvement of any village will be set apart by taking up one village after another according to the urgency and necessity of each village of this group. The proceedings of the meetings of the committees will be sent to my Office for my information and action. All the accounts relating to the collection, expenditure, etc., will be maintained panchayatwar so that informations regarding the affairs of each panchayat could be easily procurable.

Statements showing the collection, expenditure, and balance panchayatwar will be forwarded periodically if required for the information of the Amildar concerned.

I have submitted the above proposals for your information and request you to kindly submit them to Government for their favourable consideration and to confer on

(Contd)

on me as an experimental measure all the powers required for the proper management of the Inam village panchayat as stated above.

It is not out of place for me to state that I have submitted the above proposals with a sole desire that the Inam villages which have not derived any tangible benefit from the village panchayat system, may enjoy the benefit which the system contemplates and not with any desire to obtain personal benefit out of the village panchayat funds or for the enjoyment of any special power. I may also be permitted to state that the conditions of my villages from the material and moral point of view are far from satisfactory and may remain as they are for many years to come or may even go from bad to worse unless immediate attempts are made by me as Inamdar for their improvement. Unless I am invested with sufficient powers under regulation, my Agents on my behalf or any supervising Official whom I may appoint for the purpose cannot exercise any control. For this reason, and not with any other motive, I have submitted the above my proposals for gracious consideration of the Government.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Shrikrishnaji

List of Village Panchayats of Vi
Vilas Mar...

Serial No.	Taluk.	Village	Number of Houses.	Population	When Village panchayat Rules introduce	Annual Jamabandy	Average Collection per year	Total Amount Collected Till now.	Total Amount Credited to Taluk Treasury up-to-date.
1	T. Narsipur	Heggur	192	1281	1-2-27	116-4			
2	"	Mencikya-na halli.	151	803		99-8			
3	"	Bodda mudagodu	111	750		69-8			
4	"	Hunasa-halli.	60	370		46-8			
5	"	Seehalli	241	1350		153-8			
6	Seringapatam	patta Somana halli.	22			398--	379--	1659-13--	1659-13
7	"	Mahadeva pura.							
8	Nanjangud	Kudla-pur	429	1484		223-12		160-151	160-15
9	"	Madapur	80	260		73--		20--	20--
10	"	Taradale	237	550		104-12			
11	"	Haralalay							
12	Chama-Raj-Nagar	Kempan pura.							
13	H.D. Kota	Sagare							
14	Mala-valli	Ganganod	15	74		8--			
			1745	6922		1292-12--	379--	1840-12	1840-12

lages belonging to Cheluvamba
Mysore.

Amt of savings collections received. 30.9.32.	No of Meetings held per year.	No of Meetings held till now.	Nature of work done in the village	Name of Chairmen / Secretary's Name.	Remarks
+97 8 -	-	-	-	Chairman patel Veerankegowda.	
+65 - -	-	-	-	patel Madiah	
300 - -	-	-	-	P. Chikkapuregowda.	
186 - -	-	-	-	P. Bari Gowda.	
614 - -				Shanubhogne H. Rangaiah.	
81 11 -	2	7	-	patel Dayya (chairman). Shanubhogne Ananta Ramaiah (Secretary)	
757.13 -	1	13	-	patel, Shanubhogne	
345 +					
523/12					
70/12 -	3	20	-		

1) The Honble list of Panchayats were prepared and the Mohatarfa Case was assessed when the regulation came into force, since then the Mohatarfa list has not been revised and when revised the panchayat amount will show an increase of atleast half the present revenue.

2) Blocks 7, 11, 12, 13 the Statements of these four villages have not been received these are important villages and five of them are each must bring in other villages for which the lists have been received

Indra Shanbhag

1-12-32

L - 4274

21/12/32

The

Sirdar M. Lakshmikantaraj Urs, Esq.,

Cheluvamba Vilas Mansion, Mysore.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No.J.670 dated 1st December 1932 re. the inefficient management of Village affairs in your Inam Villages and your suggestions for the improvement thereof and to state that the matter is receiving attention.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for General Secretary to Government.

TD.2

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section V P

File No. 5 Y - 32

Serial No. 2

ntry No. of letter replied to .....

No. L. 4274

To Sardar Rao Lakshmi Kanta Raj Urs

Chelluvamba Vilas Mansion, Mysore

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Sir

Date of issue 21/12/32

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter no. T. 670-9, 17/32 re the abuse of powers and inefficient management of village affairs in the Dharwar villages and your suggestions for the improvement thereof) V.P. Regn has been brought into force, and to make that like me suggestions made therein for the improvement of the villages will receive attention

Signed by Mr. A.S. Rao.

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

I am

Sir

Your most obedient servant

Examined by .....

Asst Secy

12/1932

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. L.4437/Eme Two

Dated, Bangalore, 27th December 1932

S/1/33

From

The *General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith a copy of letter No. L.670 dated 1st December 1932 from Sirdar M.Lakshmikantaraj Urs Cheluvamba Vilas Mansion,Mysore re: the inefficient management of the village affairs in his Inam villages and his suggestions for the improvement thereof and to request you to be so good as to forward a report in the matter at a very early date with your opinion on the suggestions made by the Sirdar.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For General Secretary to Government

mk.2

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section V P
File No. 54-32
Serial No. 3

Diary No. of letter replied to ..2689..

No. L. 4437/Exec Two

To The Resident

Mysore

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith a copy of letter No. S. 670 dated 18th Dec 1932 from Sirdar M. Lakshmikantaraj Urs Bahadur Cheluvamba Vilas Mansion, Mysore re: the inefficient management of the village affairs in his Inam villages and his suggestions for the improvement thereof and to request you to be so good as to forward at a very early date a report in the matter, with your opinion on the suggestions made by the Sirdar.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 5/1/33

Signed by Mr MSK

List of enclosures .....

I have etc

Copied by .....

for Chief Secy to Govt

Examined by .....

2/1/33

WD 71—GBPM—40,000—20-7-31.

VP 57-32-4.

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER,
IN MYSORE,

No. C.2757/32-33.

Dated, Bangalore, 25th July 1933.
26.JUL.1933

531/4

FROM

S. Hiriyannaiya Esq., M.A.,B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

To

S.N. Appanna Iyengar Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
General ~~This~~ *Secretary to the Government*
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Bangalore.

26 JULY 1933

Inefficient management of the village affairs (Village Panchayets) in the Inam villages belonging to Sirdar M. Lakshmikantharaj Urs.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. L. 4437/V.P.57-32-33 dated 5th January 1933 calling for a report on certain suggestions of Sirdar M. Lakshmikantharaj Urs for the improvement of the Village Panchayet Administration in his Inam villages, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of letter No. D.1. L.2398/32-33 dated 6/7th July 1933, received from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District ~~from which it will be seen~~ stating that the defects pointed out by the Inamdar are not peculiar to the Inam villages and that the state of affairs in the Inam villages is not also so bad as represented by the Inamdar. He is further of opinion that the proposals of the Sirdar are opposed to the provisions of the Village Panchayet Regulation and that with the co-operation of the Inamdars with the Government officers, it would be possible to effect the necessary improvements.

2 The offer of Sirdar Lakshmikantharaj Urs to take ~~upon~~ on himself the supervision of the Panchayets in his Inam villages and to bring about their improvement is undoubtedly praiseworthy, but unfortunately the proposal to "club" together the Panchayets and keep the funds with the Sirdar are legally impossible as they are opposed to the provisions of the Village Panchayet Regulation. The Sirdar may however render valuable assistance by using his influence to improve each individual Panchayet separately. The Deputy Commissioner will be asked to give him all possible help.

3. The enclosures received with the Deputy Commissioner's letter accompany for reference. I am asking the Deputy Commissioner to send me a separate report on the irregularities brought to light in the Sirdar's letter in the ase of Kempanapura, Sagare and Tharadalay Panchayets.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Revenue Commissioner.

M.B.R.

Letter No. D.1. L.2398/32-33 dated 6/7th July 1933 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

Inefficient management of the village affairs (Village Panchayets) in the Inam villages belonging to Sirdar Lakshmikantharaj Urs.

With reference to your Memo No. C.2757/32-33 dated the 12th June 1933 calling for a report in re: the above, I have the honor to forward herewith in original the reports received from the Sub Division Officers in the matter. It will be seen therefrom that the alleged defects noticed by the Sirdar are a common feature in several of the Government villages also as the Scheme is just in its infancy and the villagers have to be trained to it slowly and gradually. However the affairs of the Panchayets are not as bad as they are represented to be. A willing co-operation with the Revenue Department by the several Inamdars would certainly go a long way in making the Village Panchayet Scheme in Inam villages a success.

(x)

The suggestions of the Sirdar to improve the village affairs are opposed to the provisions of the Village Panchayet Regulation.

(x)
(1) Clubbing all Inam villages into one group.
(2) A Fund called "Village Panchayet Fund" to be formed for all villages.
(3) Appointment of a paid Agency for control and supervision of all Panchayets.

Also the expenditure proposed to be incurred on the collecting and supervising Agency will be disproportionate to the income of the Village Panchayet as explained in the report of the Sub Division Officer, Nanjangud.

In the circumstances, I am of opinion that there is nothing wrong in the Scheme and much progress can be achieved if the Inamdars co-operate with the Officers of the Revenue Department in the administration of the Village Panchayets in the Inam villages and bring to the notice of the Revenue Officers irregularities, if any, observed by them.

The enclosure received with your Memorandum under reference are returned.

" C o p y "

For Revenue Commissioner.

BBB

L. 2284
— VP 54-32-5

94/10/3

To

Sirdar M.Lakshmikantaraj Urs,Esq.,
Cheluvamba Vilas Mansion, Mysore.

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter No.L.4274 dated the 21st December 1932 regarding your proposals for the efficient management of the Village Panchayets in your Inam villages, I am directed to inform you that Govt have carefully considered the matter in consultation with the Revenue Commissioner, who is the Chief Controlling authority under the Village Panchayet Regulation, and are of opinion that while your offer to take on yourself the supervision of the Panchayets in your Inam villages for the purpose of improving them is no doubt praiseworthy, the proposal for clubbing the Panchayets and keeping their funds with you is opposed to the provisions of the Village Panchayet Regulation and cannot be approved. I am, however, to add that you will be rendering valuable assistance by using your influence to improve each individual panchayet separately and that the Deputy Commissioner will be asked to give you all possible help in the matter if you are agreeable to this suggestion.

I have etc.,

for General Secretary to Govt.

The irregularities brought to notice in your letter in the case of Kempanapura, Sagara and Taradale Panchayets are being enquired into by the Revenue

L.2285 forwd

— " —

Copy forwarded with compliments to the

the Revenue Commissioner for information for information with reference to his letter No.C.2757/32-33 dated 26-7-33. The enclosures to his letter are returned herewith.

By order

Draft

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. L. 2284/U.P. 57-32-5 Dated, Bangalore, 4/10/33.

From H. V. Ramasvami, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
General Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

To,

Sirdar M.Lakshmikantaraj Urs, Esq.,

Cheluvamba Vilas Mansion, M y s o r e.

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter No.L. 4274 dated the 21 December 1932 regarding your proposals for the efficient management of the Village Panchayets in your Inam villages, I am directed to inform you that Government have carefully considered the matter in consultation with the Revenue Commissioner, who is the Chief Controlling authority under the Village Panchayet Regulation, and are of opinion that while your offer to take on yourself the supervision of the Panchayets in your Inam villages for the purpose of improving them is no doubt praiseworthy, the proposal for clubbing the Panchayets and keeping their funds with you is opposed to the provisions of the Regulation and cannot be approved. I am, however, to add that you will be rendering valuable assistance if you would use your influence to improve each individual panchayet separately and that the Deputy Commissioner will be asked to give you all possible help in the matter if you are agreeable to the suggestion. The irregularities brought to notice in your letter in the case of Kempapura, Sagare and Taradale Panchayets are being enquired into by the Revenue Commissioner..

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Sd. H. V. Ramasvami,

General Secretary to Government.

P.T.O

TSS.2

Memo No. L. 2285

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore for information with reference to his letter No.C.2757/32-33 dated 26th July 1933. The enclosures to his letter are returned herewith.

By Order,

Asst. to Pee

For General Secretary to Government.

TSS.

Extra